

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
01/07/2004	Huang-Chen Liao	FP9959	3646
04/19/2005		EXAMINER TSIDULKO, MARK	
Huang-Chen Liao PO Box 82-144			
·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TAÏWAN		2875	
		DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005	5 .
	01/07/2004 04/19/2005	01/07/2004 Huang-Chen Liao 04/19/2005	01/07/2004 Huang-Chen Liao FP9959 04/19/2005 EXAM TSIDULKO ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/751,969	LIAO ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Mark Tsidulko	2875		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Ja	nuary 2004.			
	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Application Papers				
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 January 2004</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)	·			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/751,969

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: it is unclear what Applicant intends by "non-elongation paper" (page 4, line 18).

Also, on page 4, two different parts of the device are indicated by the same reference number "23": "upper frame" (lines 12, 13) and "rings eyelets" (line 13).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1, as best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strickland (US 6,604,846) in view of Azeredo et al. (US 6,079,855) and Noguchi (US 4,167,034).

Strickland discloses (Figs. 1, 4) a collapsible lampshade made of fabric (col. 2, lines 54-59), an upper frame [14], a lower frame [16], and a plurality of eyelets [25]. While shade has a conical shape, a tubular shape may also be used for the shade (col. 2, lines 60-67), what is a matter of the design choice, because does not change functionality of the shade, but used for aesthetic appearance only.

Application/Control Number: 10/751,969

Art Unit: 2875

Strickland Discloses the instant claimed invention except for paper as a lining to the fabric and that the lever is provided crossing the lower frame.

Azeredo et al. disclose a lampshade that may be made of any combination of fabric and paper (col.3, lines29, 30). While Strickland discloses a lever provided crossing an upper frame, it will of course be understood by those skilled in the art, that the lever provided at any frame (upper or lower) will implement same function of attachment.

Noguchi discloses (Fig.5) a lampshade having a lever [28] provided crossing the lower frame [26].

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to provide the lever, as shown by Noguchi, as a variant of a plurality of the mountings, for the shade of Strickland, in order to attach the shade to the lighting device.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Tsidulko whose telephone number is (571)272-2384. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for all communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Art Unit: 2875

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M.T.

February 10, 2005

JOHN ANTHONY WARD PRIMARY EXAMINER